



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nutrition

journal homepage: www.nutritionjrn.com

Applied nutritional investigation

High prevalence of undernutrition in Dutch community-dwelling older individuals

Janneke Schilp M.Sc.^{a,b,*}, Hinke M. Kruizenga R.D., Ph.D.^{a,b,c}, Hanneke A.H. Wijnhoven Ph.D.^a, Eva Leistra M.Sc.^{b,c}, Anja M. Evers R.D., M.Sc.^b, Jaap J. van Binsbergen M.D., Ph.D.^{b,d}, Dorly J.H. Deeg Ph.D.^e, Marjolein Visser Ph.D.^{a,b,e}

^a Department of Health Sciences and the EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^b Dutch Malnutrition Steering Group, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^c Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Internal Medicine, and the EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^d Department Primary and Community Care, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

^e Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics and the EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 October 2011

Accepted 15 February 2012

Keywords:

Undernutrition

Aged

Independently living

Home care

General practice

ABSTRACT

Objective: To examine the prevalence of undernutrition in community-dwelling older individuals (≥ 65 y) using data from various settings.

Methods: A cross-sectional observational study was performed to examine the prevalence of undernutrition in three samples (all ≥ 65 y): 1) 1267 community-dwelling individuals participating in a large prospective population-based study, the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA) in 1998/99; 2) 814 patients receiving home care in 2009/10; and 3) 1878 patients from general practices during the annual influenza vaccination in 2009/10. Undernutrition was assessed by the Short Nutritional Assessment Questionnaire 65+.

Results: Mean age was 77.3 y (SD 6.7) in the LASA sample, 81.6 y (SD 7.4) in the home care sample, and 75.3 y (SD 6.5) in the general practice sample. The prevalence of undernutrition was highest in the home care sample (35%), followed by the general practice (12%) and LASA (11%) samples. The prevalence of undernutrition increased significantly with age in the general practice and LASA samples. Gender differences were observed in the general practice and home care samples; women were more likely to be undernourished in the general practice sample and men were more likely to be undernourished in the home care sample.

Conclusion: The prevalence of undernutrition in Dutch community-dwelling older individuals was relatively high, especially in home care patients.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Undernutrition is an important problem in all health care settings. Undernutrition can be defined as a disorder of nutritional status resulting from reduced nutrient intake or impaired metabolism [1]. In Western society, the presence of undernutrition is found to be associated with delayed wound healing [2, 3], impaired immune function [4], poor muscle function [5,6], mental health problems [7,8], impaired quality of life [9,10], and even increased morbidity and mortality rates [11–15]. In the Netherlands in 2010, the prevalence of undernutrition was

estimated to be 25% in hospitals, 21% in nursing home residents, and 17% in patients receiving home care [16]. Although undernutrition is present in all age groups, the prevalence of undernutrition increases with age [16–18] and appears to be highest in older individuals [15,19–21]. Studies performed in institutionalized older patients showed that treatment of undernutrition could lead to improved wound healing [22,23], less complications [24], better quality of life [24,25], and lower mortality [26].

In the past years, more attention is given to recognize and treat undernourished patients in Dutch institutional settings. Screening and treatment of undernutrition in hospital patients were added as performance indicators to the national benchmarks on quality of care in the Netherlands in 2007/08 [27]. On the contrary, recognition and treatment of undernutrition in older individuals in the home situation has received less

* Corresponding author. Telephone: +31 (0) 20 5983521; fax: +31 (0) 20 5986940.

E-mail address: j.schilp@vu.nl (J. Schilp).

attention. The results of the Dutch Annual National Prevalence Measurement of Care Problems (LPZ Prevalence Study) in 2010 showed that nutritional status was assessed in only 16% of the home care patients [16]. In 71% of this subgroup, undernutrition was assessed by just looking at the patient and in only 5% a validated screening instrument was used [16]. The Dutch College of General Practitioners introduced the “National Primary Care Cooperation Agreement Undernutrition” on the collaboration of primary care workers in 2010 to enhance awareness and early intervention in the case of undernutrition [28]. Recognition of undernutrition in an early phase is important to initiate timely treatment and to prevent aggravation of the nutritional status. The importance of early detection is emphasized by the fact that older individuals have a reduced ability to recover from weight loss [29].

Studies determining the prevalence of undernutrition in community-dwelling older individuals are scarce. Depending on the specific older study population and the definition used to determine undernutrition, prevalences range from 0 to 24% [16, 30,31]. More knowledge about the prevalence in the specific populations at risk of undernutrition in the home situation is needed to provide recommendations for the assessment and treatment of undernutrition in community-dwelling older individuals. Recently, a new instrument was specifically developed and validated to determine undernutrition in community-dwelling older individuals: the Short Nutritional Assessment Questionnaire 65+ (SNAQ⁶⁵⁺) [32]. This instrument is feasible and fast to use, without the need of any calculation or heavy equipment, and is therefore well applicable in the home situation. The aim of the present study was to identify the prevalence of undernutrition in three different samples of Dutch community-dwelling older individuals using the SNAQ⁶⁵⁺.

Materials and methods

Data of three samples were collected within two cohort studies: one sample from the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA) and two samples from the Nutrition in Primary Care Study (NPCS). Both studies were approved by the Ethics Review Board of the VU University Medical Center and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Study samples

LASA is an ongoing cohort study focusing on predictors and consequences of changes in autonomy and well-being in the aging population in the Netherlands. A representative sample of older individuals (55 to 85 y old), stratified by age and sex according to expected mortality after 5 y, was drawn from the population registries of 11 municipalities in areas in the west (Amsterdam and vicinity), northeast (Zwolle and vicinity), and south (Oss and vicinity) of the Netherlands. Further details about the sampling and data-collection procedures have been described elsewhere [33]. A total of 3107 participants were enrolled at the baseline examination (1992/1993). Examinations were performed every 3 y and consist of a general face-to-face interview and a medical interview at the participant's home. Data for the present study were collected in 1998 and 1999, in a medical interview by trained research nurses using a standardized protocol. Participants aged ≥ 65 y ($n = 1289$) were included. Subsequently, participants with missing data on nutritional status were excluded ($n = 22$), resulting in a sample of 1267 participants.

NPCS is an ongoing intervention study investigating the (cost) effectiveness of early treatment by a dietician of undernourished community-dwelling older individuals in Dutch primary care and home care. Undernourished participants were recruited through 12 general practices and a home care organization in Amsterdam and vicinity. Nutritional status was assessed by 24 research assistants during the annual influenza vaccination on a specific day in the general practices from October 2009 to December 2009 (eight general practices) or in November 2010 (four general practices). After exclusion of individuals with missing data on gender ($n = 25$), 1878 participants aged ≥ 65 y were included in the sample. In the home care organization, nurses were trained to assess nutritional status at the individual's home during an intake consultation when the care needs were determined or during an evaluation consultation. Terminally ill individuals or individuals suffering from dementia were excluded from the assessment. Data

collected by 54 home care nurses between November 2009 and December 2010 were used. Individuals with missing data on gender ($n = 1$) or nutritional status ($n = 18$) were excluded, resulting in a sample of 814 participants aged ≥ 65 y.

The total study sample consisted of 1267 participants from the LASA study, 1878 participants from the general practices, and 814 participants receiving home care.

Nutritional status

Undernutrition was assessed by the SNAQ⁶⁵⁺ [32]. This instrument consists of four items: the measurement of mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) and three questions on involuntary weight loss in the past 6 mo, poor appetite, and difficulties walking stairs. Participants with a MUAC < 25 cm and/or involuntary weight loss ≥ 4 kg in the past 6 mo were defined as undernourished. Not undernourished participants reporting a poor appetite in the past week in combination with reporting difficulties climbing stairs were defined as being at risk of undernutrition [32]. In LASA the answers on the items were defined retrospectively, because the data were already collected.

Weight loss

To determine involuntary weight loss in the past 6 mo in the LASA sample, the answers on three questions were used: 1) “did your weight change in the past 6 months?”; 2) “how many kilograms did your weight change?”; and 3) “what is the reason your weight change?” Involuntary weight loss was defined as weight loss due to disease, poor appetite, or social factors, or a by the participant reporting “unknown” reason. A cutoff point of ≥ 4 kg involuntary weight loss in the past 6 mo was used to define undernutrition. This corresponds with a 5% weight change in the LASA study [32]. In the NPCS samples, one question was asked to define involuntarily weight loss: “Did you involuntarily lose 4 kilogram or more in the past six months?” with answering categories yes and no.

Mid-upper arm circumference

MUAC was measured at the left arm to the nearest millimeter at a point midway between the lateral projection of the acromion process of the scapula and the inferior margin of the olecranon process of the ulna. The midway point was determined with the arm bent at the elbow at a 90-degree angle, while the actual measure was performed with the arm hanging loose. In LASA, the MUAC was measured in duplicate, whereby the mean of two MUAC measurements was used in the analyses. MUAC was dichotomized into < 25 cm and ≥ 25 cm based on the 5th percentile of the total LASA study sample [34].

Appetite

In the LASA sample, appetite during the past week was assessed with the following question from the Dutch translation of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale: “In the past week, I did not feel like eating; my appetite was poor” [35]. Two categories were created: no problems with appetite (answer rarely or never) and poor appetite last week (answer some of the time/occasionally/mostly or always). In the NPCS samples appetite was assessed by the question: “Did you have a poor appetite in the past week?”, with answering categories yes and no.

Walking stairs

Difficulty walking up and down a staircase was assessed by the question “Can you walk up and down a staircase of 15 steps without resting?” In the LASA sample, two categories were created: no difficulties (answer yes, without help) and difficulties (answer yes, with some/much difficulty/only with help/no, I cannot). In the NPCS samples response categories were yes and no.

Statistical analyses

The prevalence of (the risk of) undernutrition with the SNAQ⁶⁵⁺ was calculated in the three different study samples and characteristics of the study samples were examined. Differences between the study samples were tested using ANOVA for continuous variables and χ^2 tests for dichotomous and categorical variables. The percentage of undernourished participants with a MUAC < 25 cm, with ≥ 4 kg involuntary weight loss in the past 6 mo or both, were calculated for every sample. The prevalence of undernutrition was presented in age quintiles (based on including all three individual samples) and for men and women separately. Differences were tested with χ^2 test and linear-by-linear associations were calculated to obtain insight into the trend of the prevalence across age quintiles. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analyses were performed using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the three study samples and the prevalence of (the risk of) undernutrition. In total, 3959

Table 1
Characteristics of the study samples and prevalence of undernutrition

	LASA	GP	HC	P value*		
	N = 1267	N = 1878	N = 814	LASA-GP	LASA-HC	GP-HC
Women, %	54.9	57.7	69.3	0.118	<0.001	<0.001
Age in y, mean (SD)	77.3 (6.7)	75.3 (6.5)	81.6 (7.4)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
MUAC in cm, mean (SD)	30.3 (3.6)	29.4 (3.4)	28.9 (5.5)	<0.001	<0.001	0.001
MUAC <25 cm, %	5.8	7.1	15.7	0.168	<0.001	<0.001
≥4 kg involuntary weight loss, %	5.4	6.7	27.0	0.125	<0.001	<0.001
Poor appetite last week, %	15.9	8.9	29.4	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Difficulties walking stairs, %	38.3	17.0	59.2	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Nutritional status, %				<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Undernutrition	10.7	11.8	34.8			
Risk of undernutrition	7.7	2.2	9.2			
No undernutrition	81.7	86.0	56.0			

GP, general practice; HC, home care; LASA, Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam; MUAC, mid-upper arm circumference

* Differences between the three samples were mutually tested with ANOVA and χ^2 tests.

participants (59.2% women) were included in the study, with a mean age of 77.2 (SD 7.2) y. The home care sample differed from the other samples on all investigated characteristics. Participants in the home care sample were more often women, were older, and had the lowest mean MUAC ($P < 0.001$). The characteristics of the LASA and general practice samples were most comparable. The prevalence of undernutrition was 10.7% (95% CI 9.0, 12.4) in the LASA sample, 11.8% (95% CI 10.3, 13.3) in the general practice, and 34.8% (95% CI 31.5, 38.1) in the home care sample. The risk of undernutrition was 7.7% (95% CI 6.2, 9.2) in the LASA sample, 2.2% (95% CI 1.4, 3.0) in the general practice sample, and 9.2% (95% CI 7.6, 10.8) in the home care sample. The mean overall prevalence of undernutrition was 16.2% (95% CI 15.0, 17.4) and the mean overall prevalence of the risk of undernutrition was 5.4% (95% CI 4.1, 6.7). Additional characteristics of the LASA sample were examined: 12% had a poor cognitive status (Mini-Mental State Examination

score ≤ 23), 39% had a poor self-perceived health, and 88% reported having one or more chronic diseases. The mean hand-grip strength of the LASA sample was 31.9 kg (SD 9.7) in men and 18.9 kg (SD 6.9) in women. Furthermore, 70% of the men and 34% of the women were married and 22% of the men and 54% of the women were widowed. No comparison on these characteristics could be made between the samples, as this information was not available for the other two study samples.

The underlying criteria for undernutrition according to the SNAQ⁶⁵⁺ are illustrated in Figure 1. In the LASA and general practice samples most undernourished participants were undernourished based on a low MUAC. In LASA a statistically significant difference was found between men and women ($P = 0.04$). In the home care sample most undernourished participants were undernourished based on their involuntary weight loss ≥ 4 kg. This percentage was significantly higher in




Fig. 1. Underlying criteria for undernutrition according to the SNAQ⁶⁵⁺ within the undernourished participants. * Statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between men and women. [†] Statistically significant difference ($P < 0.01$) between men and women.




Fig. 2. Prevalence of undernutrition within the study samples, in age quintiles. GP, general practice; HC, home care; LASA, Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. * Statistically significant difference ($P < 0.001$) in prevalence of undernutrition between the age quintiles within the three study samples.

men compared to women ($P = 0.003$). In the home care sample almost one of four undernourished participants was undernourished based on both criteria.

Figure 2 shows the prevalence of undernutrition for the age quintiles in the total study sample. The prevalence of undernutrition increased statistically significantly ($P < 0.001$) with age in the general practice and LASA samples. In these samples the prevalence was highest in the age group ≥ 85 y; 20.9% (95% CI 15.2, 26.6) in the LASA sample, and 22.8% (95% CI 16.3, 29.3) in the general practice sample. In the home care sample, the prevalence of undernutrition did not differ between the age quintiles.

The prevalence of the risk of undernutrition differed significantly between the age quintiles in the LASA sample, but there was no trend across the age quintiles (linear-by-linear association, $P = 0.46$). The highest prevalence (13.0%; 95% CI 10.4, 15.6) was found in the age group 80–84 y and the lowest prevalence (4.9%; 95% CI 3.3, 6.5) was found in the age group ≥ 85 y. In the general practice and home care samples no statistically significant differences were found between the age quintiles. In the home care sample, the prevalence ranges from 5.9% (95% CI 4.1, 7.7) in the age group 65–69 y to 11.1% (95% CI 8.7, 13.5) in the age group ≥ 85 y. In the general practice sample, the prevalence ranges from 1.0% (95% CI 0.2, 1.8) in the age group 70–74 y to 3.0% (95% CI 1.7, 4.3) in the age group ≥ 85 y.

Figure 3 shows the prevalence of (the risk of) undernutrition for men and women in the three study samples. In the general practice and home care samples statistically significant differences were found between men and women. Women were more likely to be undernourished than men in the general practice sample ($P < 0.001$). In the home care sample men were more likely to be undernourished than women ($P = 0.02$). In the LASA sample no significant gender differences were found. An additional analysis showed that potential age differences between men and women did not explain the observed gender differences in prevalence.

Discussion

In Dutch community-dwelling older individuals (≥ 65 y), the prevalence of undernutrition was 11% in a representative sample

of 1267 community-dwelling older individuals from the LASA study, 12% in a sample of 1878 general practice patients (during the annual influenza vaccination), and 35% in a sample of 814 home care patients (during an intake or evaluation consultation). The prevalence of undernutrition increased statistically significantly with age in the LASA and general practice samples and gender differences were observed in the general practice and home care samples.

This is the first study investigating the prevalence of undernutrition in community-dwelling older individuals using the SNAQ⁶⁵⁺. Thereby, comparing the observed prevalences to the




Fig. 3. Gender-specific prevalence of undernutrition in the three study samples. * Statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in prevalence of undernutrition between men and women.

results of other studies is difficult, because they largely depend on the used criteria to define (the risk of) undernutrition and the considered population and setting. Studies reporting the prevalence in older individuals in general practice are scarce, with values ranging from 0% assessed with the Mini Nutritional Assessment [36] to 11.6% using a low body mass index (BMI) as the criteria (the used cutoff point for low BMI was not reported) [37]. The prevalence of undernutrition observed in our general practice and LASA samples is comparable to the latter study. The prevalence of undernutrition in our home care sample is higher than the prevalence (17.1%) found in the earlier mentioned LPZ prevalence study [16]. However, the home care sample of the LPZ prevalence study was younger (mean age 76.2 y) compared to our sample (mean age 81.8 y). In addition, more stringent criteria were used to assess undernutrition in the LPZ prevalence study: BMI ≤ 20 kg/m², >6 kg involuntary weight loss in the past 6 mo or >3 kg in the past month, and reduced nutritional intake. The cutoff value of 25 cm for MUAC used in our study was comparable with a BMI of 20.7 kg/m² in LASA (approximated with a linear regression analysis). Moreover, the cutoff value for involuntary weight loss (≥ 4 kg) was also less strict in our study compared to the LPZ prevalence study.

The increasing prevalence of undernutrition with age shown in earlier studies [17,18,20,21] was confirmed in the LASA and general practice samples, but not in the home care sample. Besides the increasing prevalence of undernutrition, other health problems and diseases such as depression, cancer, heart disease, and the presence of multimorbidities are also known to increase with increasing age [38–42]. The decreasing prevalence in the home care sample was comparable to the results of the LPZ prevalence study and could be due to the assumption that older individuals with higher disease severity are more likely to die or to be admitted to an institution, whereby the healthier older individuals will be more likely to stay at home [16]. Because of the observed age differences in the general practice sample, it could be useful to consider only assessing undernutrition in the highest age groups in this setting.

The contradictory results between the samples with regard to gender differences in the prevalence of undernutrition are difficult to interpret. In general, women are more often frail than men [43], which was reflected in the prevalence of undernutrition in our general practice sample. The higher prevalence of undernutrition in men compared to women in our home care sample could be due to the fact that the frailest patients in home care are more likely to be men [44]. Women generally receive home care more often compared to men, because women are more often living without a partner, but men are more frail [45]. An earlier study pooled data from published data sets and showed that the prevalence of undernutrition was higher in community-dwelling older men compared to women [46], but it was not mentioned whether this population received home care. In the LPZ prevalence study no statistically significant gender differences were found in the home care setting [47]. Based on the results of our study, we will recommend assessing nutritional status in both men and women and not differentiating the assessment for gender.

One strength of our study is that three large and diverse samples were used to determine the prevalence of undernutrition in community-dwelling older individuals. Probably some overlap exists between the three samples, because, for example, individuals assessed during the influenza vaccination in general practices as well as participants of LASA could also potentially receive home care. Another strength is the unique direct comparison of different settings of community-dwelling older

individuals. Advantage of assessment during the influenza vaccination in general practice or during consultation in home care is that assessment can be performed regularly in large samples of older individuals, allowing monitoring of nutritional status over time.

A limitation of this study is that undernutrition in the LASA sample was assessed in 1998/99, while undernutrition in the other two samples was assessed in 2009/10. The MUAC was only measured until the third cycle of LASA (1998/99) and more recent cycles could therefore not be used to determine the prevalence of undernutrition based on the SNAQ⁶⁵⁺. An additional analysis, using BMI <20 kg/m² instead of MUAC <25 cm, showed comparable prevalences of undernutrition between 1998/99 (6.3%) and 2005/06 (6.6%) in individuals between age 65 and 85 y. These data suggest that the prevalences did not vary over time, allowing a direct comparison of the three study samples. Another potential limitation was that the questions used in the SNAQ⁶⁵⁺ were not asked identically in the LASA sample as compared to the other two samples, which may explain some of the differences in the prevalence between the samples.

In the present study home care nurses were instructed to assess the nutritional status of all individuals aged 65 y and older during an intake or evaluation consultation, but not all individuals were actually assessed because terminally ill individuals or individuals suffering from dementia were excluded from assessment, causing selection bias. Furthermore, it is possible that during the start-up phase nurses may have selectively screened those individuals who appeared undernourished. However, the prevalence of undernutrition (38.1%; 95% CI 33.2, 43.0) of the first 4 mo (November 2009 to February 2010) was not statistically significantly different ($P = 0.16$) from the prevalence (31.7%; 95% CI 27.0, 36.4) of the last 4 mo of recruitment (September to December 2010).

This study demonstrates that the prevalence of undernutrition in community-dwelling older individuals is substantial. The prevalence of undernutrition was highest in a sample of older individuals receiving home care, in both men and women and in all age groups (≥ 65 y). Therefore, assessment of undernutrition in home care during regular consultations is warranted. In general practice, almost one of four patients (both men and women) aged 85 y and older was undernourished during the influenza vaccination. Concerning investment of time and money, it could be useful to consider only assessing undernutrition in the highest age groups in general practice. Early recognition of undernutrition in community-dwelling older individuals is important to initiate treatment in a timely fashion and prevent further deterioration of nutritional status.

Acknowledgments

LASA and NPCS were largely funded by the Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Sports of the Netherlands.

References

- 1 August DA, Kushner RF. The 1995 ASPEN standards for nutrition support: hospitalized patients. *Nutr Clin Pract* 1995;10:206–7.
- 2 Haydock DA, Hill GL. Impaired wound healing in surgical patients with varying degrees of malnutrition. *J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1986;10:550–4.
- 3 Shahn ES, Meijers JM, Schols JM, Tannen A, Halfens RJ, Dassen T. The relationship between malnutrition parameters and pressure ulcers in hospitals and nursing homes. *Nutrition* 2010;26:886–9.
- 4 Kawakami K, Kadota J, Iida K, Shirai R, Abe K, Kohno S. Reduced immune function and malnutrition in the elderly. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 1999;187:157–71.
- 5 Bourdel-Marchasson I, Joseph PA, Dehail P, Biran M, Faux P, Rainfray M, et al. Functional and metabolic early changes in calf muscle occurring during

- nutritional repletion in malnourished elderly patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;73:832–8.
- 6 Engelen MP, Schols AM, Baken WC, Wesseling GJ, Wouters EF. Nutritional depletion in relation to respiratory and peripheral skeletal muscle function in out-patients with COPD. *Eur Respir J* 1994;7:1793–7.
 - 7 Cabrera MA, Mesas AE, Garcia AR, de Andrade SM. Malnutrition and depression among community-dwelling elderly people. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2007;8:582–4.
 - 8 Kvamme JM, Gronli O, Florholmen J, Jacobsen BK. Risk of malnutrition is associated with mental health symptoms in community living elderly men and women: the Tromso study. *BMC Psychiatry* 2011;11:112.
 - 9 Amarantos E, Martinez A, Dwyer J. Nutrition and quality of life in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;56(Spec No 2):54–64.
 - 10 Thomas DR. The critical link between health-related quality of life and age-related changes in physical activity and nutrition. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;56:599–602.
 - 11 Corrada MM, Kawas CH, Mozaffar F, Paganini-Hill A. Association of body mass index and weight change with all-cause mortality in the elderly. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006;163:938–49.
 - 12 Liu L, Bopp MM, Roberson PK, Sullivan DH. Undernutrition and risk of mortality in elderly patients within 1 year of hospital discharge. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2002;57:741–6.
 - 13 Locher JL, Roth DL, Ritchie CS, Cox K, Sawyer P, Bodner EV, et al. Body mass index, weight loss, and mortality in community-dwelling older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2007;62:1389–92.
 - 14 Sullivan DH, Bopp MM, Roberson PK. Protein-energy undernutrition and life-threatening complications among the hospitalized elderly. *J Gen Intern Med* 2002;17:923–32.
 - 15 Ferreira LS, do Amaral TF, de Fatima Nunes Marucci M, Costa Nascimento LF, Lebrão ML, de Oliveira Duarte YA. Undernutrition as a major risk factor for death among older Brazilian adults in the community-dwelling setting: SABE survey. *Nutrition* 2011;27:1017–22.
 - 16 Halfens RJG, Meijers JMM, Du Moulin MFMT, Van Nie NC, Neyers JCL, Schols JMGA. Rapportage resultaten: Landelijke Prevalentiemeting Zorgproblemen (National Prevalence Measurement of Care Problems). Maastricht, The Netherlands: Maastricht University; 2010.
 - 17 Pirlich M, Schutz T, Kemps M, Luhman N, Burmester GR, Baumann G, et al. Prevalence of malnutrition in hospitalized medical patients: impact of underlying disease. *Dig Dis* 2003;21:245–51.
 - 18 Newman AB, Yanez D, Harris T, Duxbury A, Enright PL, Fried LP. Weight change in old age and its association with mortality. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2001;49:1309–18.
 - 19 Margetts BM, Thompson RL, Elia M, Jackson AA. Prevalence of risk of undernutrition is associated with poor health status in older people in the UK. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003;57:69–74.
 - 20 Johansson Y, Bachrach-Lindstrom M, Carstensen J, Ek AC. Malnutrition in a home-living older population: prevalence, incidence and risk factors. A prospective study. *J Clin Nurs* 2009;18:1354–64.
 - 21 Perissinotto E, Pisent C, Sergi G, Grigoletto F. Anthropometric measurements in the elderly: age and gender differences. *Br J Nutr* 2002;87:177–86.
 - 22 Ek AC, Unosson M, Larsson J, Von Schenck H, Bjurulf P. The development and healing of pressure sores related to the nutritional state. *Clin Nutr* 1991;10:245–50.
 - 23 Collins CE, Kershaw J, Brockington S. Effect of nutritional supplements on wound healing in home-nursed elderly: a randomized trial. *Nutrition* 2005;21:147–55.
 - 24 Starke J, Schneider H, Altheheld B, Stehle P, Meier R. Short-term individual nutritional care as part of routine clinical setting improves outcome and quality of life in malnourished medical patients. *Clin Nutr* 2011;30:194–201.
 - 25 Rufenacht U, Ruhlin M, Wegmann M, Imoberdorf R, Ballmer PE. Nutritional counseling improves quality of life and nutrient intake in hospitalized undernourished patients. *Nutrition* 2010;26:53–60.
 - 26 Milne AC, Potter J, Vivanti A, Avenell A. Protein and energy supplementation in elderly people at risk from malnutrition. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*; 2009:CD003288.
 - 27 Dutch Health Care Inspectorate. Performance indicators hospitals, 2010. Utrecht: Dutch Health Care Inspectorate; 2009.
 - 28 Van Avendonk MJP, Mensink P, Drenthen T, Van Binsbergen JJ. Primary care and public health a natural alliance? The introduction of the guidelines for obesity and undernutrition of the Dutch College of General Practitioners. *Fam Practice* 2012;29:i31–5.
 - 29 Roberts SB, Fuss P, Heyman MB, Evans WJ, Tsay R, Rasmussen H, et al. Control of food intake in older men. *JAMA* 1994;272:1601–6.
 - 30 Chen CC, Schilling LS, Lyder CH. A concept analysis of malnutrition in the elderly. *J Adv Nurs* 2001;36:131–42.
 - 31 Van Wayenburg CA, Van de Laar FA, Van Weel C, Van Staveren WA, Van Binsbergen JJ. Nutritional deficiency in general practice: a systematic review. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2005;59(Suppl 1):S81–7. discussion S88.
 - 32 Wijnhoven HA, Schilp J, Van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, De Vet HC, Kruizenga HM, Deeg DJH, et al. Development and validation of criteria for determining undernutrition in community-dwelling older men and women: the Short Nutritional Assessment Questionnaire 65+. *Clin Nutr* 2012;31:351–8.
 - 33 Huisman M, Poppelaars J, van der Horst M, Beekman AT, Brug J, van Tilburg TG, et al. Cohort Profile: The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;40:868–76.
 - 34 Wijnhoven HA, van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, Heymans MW, de Vet HC, Kruizenga HM, Twisk JW, et al. Low mid-upper arm circumference, calf circumference, and body mass index and mortality in older persons. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2010;65:1107–14.
 - 35 Radloff L. The CES-D scale: a self-reported depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Measur* 1977;1:385–401.
 - 36 Beck AM, Ovesen L, Schroll M. A six months' prospective follow-up of 65+–year-old patients from general practice classified according to nutritional risk by the Mini Nutritional Assessment. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2001;55:1028–33.
 - 37 DeVore PA. Assessment of nutritional status and obesity in elderly patients as seen in general medical practice. *South Med J* 1993;86:1008–10.
 - 38 Hung WW, Ross JS, Boockvar KS, Siu AL. Recent trends in chronic disease, impairment and disability among older adults in the United States. *BMC Geriatr* 2011;11:47.
 - 39 Salisbury C, Johnson L, Purdy S, Valderas JM, Montgomery AA. Epidemiology and impact of multimorbidity in primary care: a retrospective cohort study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61:e12–21.
 - 40 Janssen-Heijnen ML, Houterman S, Lemmens VE, Louwman MW, Maas HA, Coebergh JW. Prognostic impact of increasing age and co-morbidity in cancer patients: a population-based approach. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2005;55:231–40.
 - 41 Solhaug HI, Romuld EB, Romild U, Stordal E. Increased prevalence of depression in cohorts of the elderly: an 11-year follow-up in the general population—the HUNT study. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2012;24:151–8.
 - 42 Davis JW, Chung R, Juarez DT. Prevalence of comorbid conditions with aging among patients with diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Hawaii Med J* 2011;70:209–13.
 - 43 Puts MT, Lips P, Deeg DJ. Sex differences in the risk of frailty for mortality independent of disability and chronic diseases. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:40–7.
 - 44 Armstrong JJ, Stolee P, Hirdes JP, Poss JW. Examining three frailty conceptualizations in their ability to predict negative outcomes for home-care clients. *Age Ageing* 2010;39:755–8.
 - 45 Geerlings SW, Pot AM, Twisk JWR, Deeg DJH. Predicting transitions in the use of informal and professional care by older adults. *Ageing Soc* 2005;25:111–30.
 - 46 Kaiser MJ, Bauer JM, Ramsch C, Uter W, Guigoz Y, Cederholm T, et al. Frequency of malnutrition in older adults: a multinational perspective using the mini nutritional assessment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2010;58:1734–8.
 - 47 Meijers JM, Schols JM, van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, Dassen T, Janssen MA, Halfens RJ. Malnutrition prevalence in The Netherlands: results of the annual Dutch national prevalence measurement of care problems. *Br J Nutr* 2009;101:417–23.