MaNuEL Toolbox

Clinical Practice and Policy Recommendations from the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub
What is MaNuEL?

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub, scientists with complementary expertise from 22 institutions in seven countries have worked together for two years to summarize and improve the current scientific knowledge about different aspects of malnutrition in older persons – namely prevalence, screening, etiology/determinants, interventions, policy and education.

MaNuEL Knowledge Hub concept

One main objective of MaNuEL was to harmonize methods and strengthen evidence-based practice.

What is the MaNuEL Toolbox?

Practice-oriented recommendations were derived – based on MaNuEL Knowledge Hub results in the context of what was known before. These recommendations aim to support health care professionals in everyday clinical practice and also policy makers in order to enable finally that older people with malnutrition or at risk of malnutrition will benefit from research results.

The derived recommendations are now summarized in the present TOOLBOX, which intends to provide support to facilitate identification, prevention and treatment of malnutrition in older persons across health care settings.
What is malnutrition?

Protein-energy malnutrition is defined as a state of energy and protein deficiency which is associated with functional impairment and a worse outcome from illness as well as being specifically reversible by nutritional support. Despite intensive discussions among experts in recent years, a uniform and generally accepted operationalization of malnutrition was lacking for a long time, resulting in varying definitions and criteria used to diagnose malnutrition.

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub, partners agreed at its start to use the following two aspects to identify malnutrition, based on the ESPEN terminology and consensus framework:

- a striking unintended loss of body mass (weight loss),
- or
- a markedly low body mass index (BMI).

In parallel to the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub, representatives of the major global clinical nutrition societies worked together on a harmonized definition of malnutrition and quite recently reached consensus on how to diagnose malnutrition in adults of all ages in clinical settings on a global scale.¹

Is malnutrition a problem in older persons?

Malnutrition is widespread among older people and associated with severe health and functional problems, accompanied by reduced quality of life for the individual as well as increased health care costs for societies. It is thus an important public health concern to avoid malnutrition as much as possible and implement effective strategies to tackle this problem. The work conducted in MaNuEL contributes to this aim.


Related MaNuEL publication:
The Prevalence of Malnutrition

Reported malnutrition prevalence varies widely between different countries, across health-care settings and even within health-care settings. The use of different diagnostic criteria has hindered accurate estimation of the prevalence of malnutrition risk in older adults in Europe.

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub,

the prevalence of malnutrition was calculated based on 15 national and cross-country European studies and from New Zealand including in total 5,956 persons and using several uniform definitions.

Ranges of malnutrition prevalence (%) in four healthcare settings using six different diagnostic criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting (number of study samples)</th>
<th>Low BMI general BMI &lt;20 kg/m²</th>
<th>Low BMI age specific BMI &lt;20 if age ≥65 to &lt;70 BMI &lt;22 if age ≥70 years</th>
<th>Weight loss &gt;3 kg in the past 3 months or &gt;5 kg in the past 6 months</th>
<th>Severe decrease in food intake in the past 6 months</th>
<th>BMI &lt;20 and weight loss and severe decrease in food intake</th>
<th>BMI &lt;20 or weight loss or severe decrease in food intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community (7)</td>
<td>0–4 %</td>
<td>1–13.5 %</td>
<td>2–10.5 %</td>
<td>0–10 %</td>
<td>0–1 %</td>
<td>6–16 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatric day hospital (2)</td>
<td>2–9 %</td>
<td>6–18 %</td>
<td>6–13 %</td>
<td>1.5–12 %</td>
<td>1.5–2 %</td>
<td>13–16 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home (3)</td>
<td>4–18 %</td>
<td>8–34 %</td>
<td>4–8 %</td>
<td>1.5–8 %</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>10–27 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute hospital (3)</td>
<td>4.5–9 %</td>
<td>11–19 %</td>
<td>5–14 %</td>
<td>3–34 %</td>
<td>0.5–3 %</td>
<td>14–40 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Main findings

• Prevalence of malnutrition varies widely between study samples even when using the same definition in the same health-care setting. This variation may be explained by differences in functional and/or health status between the study samples.
• Prevalence doubles when using the age-specific cut-off for low BMI compared to the general cut-off of BMI <20 kg/m².
• Prevalence is highest when combining three criteria by OR and lowest when combining them by AND.

Recommendations

• Be aware of the diagnostic criteria used when interpreting prevalence rates of malnutrition.
• As each single criterion indicates at least a risk of malnutrition, preferably use the OR combination for an individual patient, look at each criterion separately and try to identify underlying causes.
• Future standardization of the diagnostic criteria is strongly recommended.

Related MaNuEL publication:
Screening for Malnutrition

Worldwide, 48 malnutrition screening tools are being used in older adults, of which 34 have been validated in 119 studies for use in this population group.

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub, a scoring system was developed (Figure) and applied to evaluate the 34 tools in order to identify tools that are both valid and practicable for older persons.

3 equally weighted domains: max. 45 points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validated in the elderly</td>
<td>Some are more suitable for an elderly population / are more practical measures than others</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes / No</td>
<td>Lower Score e.g.</td>
<td>0 - 3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Validity</td>
<td>- Albumin</td>
<td>4 - 6 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Construct</td>
<td>- Calf Circumference</td>
<td>7 - 10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Criterion</td>
<td>Higher Score e.g.</td>
<td>Cost / access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Predictive</td>
<td>- Recent weight loss</td>
<td>- Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated Against</td>
<td>- Reduced appetite</td>
<td>- Not Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MNA, SGA or</td>
<td>Adjustments for the Elderly</td>
<td>Used By</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- clinical assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Nutritionally trained staff only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation Results</td>
<td></td>
<td>- All staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Se, Sp, k-values etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of validation studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>- English only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. 15 points</td>
<td></td>
<td>- English plus other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EU languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. 15 points</td>
<td>Max. 15 points</td>
<td>Max. 15 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Main findings

The following tools scored highest in our MaNuEL study

- Community setting: DETERMINE your health checklist
- Hospital setting: MNA-SF or the Malnutrition Screening Tool (MST)
- Long-term care setting: Short Nutritional Assessment QuestionnaireRC (SNAQRC) (SNAQRC)
- Rehabilitation setting: Nutritional Form for the Elderly (NUFFE)

Recommendations

- Always use a malnutrition screening tool that has been validated in older persons and in your health-care setting (i.e. community, hospital, long-term care, rehabilitation).
- Find out whether the malnutrition screening tool you are currently using, is validated for older persons and for your health-care setting (see MaNuEL publication below).

Related MaNuEL publications:

Many factors are involved in the development of malnutrition – so called “determinants” – which are important to know for effective prevention and treatment.

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub, the DoMAP model was developed to illustrate the multitude of potential causes of malnutrition and their relation to malnutrition.

Three DoMAP levels were defined:
- Dark green, these are the three central mechanisms how malnutrition (MN) may develop
- Light green, these factors may directly lead to one (or more) of the three mechanisms in the dark green triangle
- Yellow, these factors may indirectly lead to one (or more) of the three mechanisms through one of the factors in the light green triangle

Recommendations
- Check which factors are present in your individual patient.
- Identify factors which may be the cause of malnutrition or increase the risk of malnutrition in your individual patient.
- Think about options to remove the respective factors or provide remedy.

Related MaNuEL publications:
How to Identify Determinants of Malnutrition

Despite sound reasons to assume relations between many nutrition-related factors and the development of malnutrition, scientific evidence is fragmentary.

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub,

a systematic approach was used to examine the existing evidence regarding potentially modifiable determinants of malnutrition in older adults, across all settings, using information from published prospective studies.

In addition, a multicohort meta-analysis of six community-based longitudinal datasets from MaNuEL partners was performed using a uniform definition of malnutrition and 23 harmonized potential determinants.

Main findings

- In published studies, different sets of determinants, different assessment methods for determinants, different definitions of incident malnutrition and different statistical approaches are used – which impedes drawing sound conclusions. Results are partly conflicting, and no studies were considered to be of ‘high quality’.
- The systematic review found consistent evidence of moderate quality that difficulty feeding one-self, poor self-reported health, poor physical function, and hospitalization are determinants of malnutrition.
- The harmonized meta-analysis identified older age, marital status, limitations of walking, limitations of climbing stairs, and hospitalization as determinants of incident malnutrition.
- Thus, physical impairment and hospitalization emerged as relevant determinants in both MaNuEL studies – the literature review and in the meta-analyses.

Recommendations

- Assess determinants as comprehensive as possible. As malnutrition is a multifactorial problem the analysis of single factors is of limited benefit.
- Be aware of a potential malnutrition risk in your individual patient – especially in case of functional impairment and hospitalization.
- Use validated tools for the assessment of determinants.

Related MaNuEL publications:

Effective Nutritional Interventions

Scientific literature shows mixed results on the effects of nutritional interventions, but did not always specifically target older persons who are actually malnourished or at risk of malnutrition.

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub,
- we performed a literature study on the effects of non-pharmacological interventions in older patients with well-defined malnutrition using relevant outcomes agreed by a broad panel of experts;
- we performed a statistical analysis of pooled individual participant data from nine randomized controlled clinical trials regarding the effects of nutritional interventions in older persons at risk of malnutrition.

Main findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literature study</td>
<td>Oral nutritional supplementation (ONS) compared to usual care (UC)</td>
<td>Nutritional status (body weight, BMI, MNA score, fat-free mass); Functional status (Timed up-and-go, strength); Quality of life and mortality</td>
<td>No effects were found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individualised dietary counselling (IDC) plus ONS compared to UC</td>
<td>Nutritional status (body weight, BMI, MNA score); Functional status (handgrip strength); Quality of life and mortality</td>
<td>Positive effects were found, in a single study, for hand grip strength, QoL and mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled statistical analyses</td>
<td>IDC plus ONS compared to UC</td>
<td>Meaningful increase in body weight; Meaningful increase in energy intake</td>
<td>Positive effects were found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IDC and/or ONS compared to UC</td>
<td>Meaningful increase in handgrip strength; Mortality during intervention or up to 6 months</td>
<td>No effects were found</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The evidence from the literature was of very low quality due to the risk of bias and the small sample size of the individual studies. Therefore, no recommendation can be derived from our results.

The evidence from the pooled statistical analyses suggests that the combination of individualized dietary counselling (IDC) plus oral nutritional supplements (ONS) improves energy intake and body weight in older malnourished persons or those at high risk. However, no benefit of nutritional interventions on handgrip strength or mortality was observed.

Recommendations

• Use ONS in combination with dietary counselling whenever possible – as part of a comprehensive, individualized intervention approach.
• Also consider the just recently published evidence-based ESPEN guidelines on clinical nutrition and hydration in geriatrics to support your intervention decisions.²


Related MaNuEL publications:

Results of multiple nutritional intervention trials (RCTs) can be combined in meta-analysis to obtain the highest level of scientific evidence. In addition, pooling of individual participant data from multiple RCTs allows innovative research with increased statistical power. The heterogeneity of variables included in current and previous RCTs presently hampers this research.

In the **MaNuEL Knowledge Hub,** we conducted a pilot survey for proposing a Minimum Data Set (MDS) of variables to include in future RCTs to characterize study participants at baseline, and a MDS of relevant outcome variables to include. An extension of the survey among non-MaNuEL experts is currently under way.

### Top 10* of MDS variables to be assessed in future RCTs (setting specific) based on a pilot survey among MaNuEL experts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Baseline characteristics</strong></th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Body weight</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Age</td>
<td>Malnutrition screening tool items</td>
<td>Current appetite</td>
<td>Current appetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Current appetite</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Malnutrition screening tool items</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Malnutrition screening tool items</td>
<td>Current appetite</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Body height</td>
<td>Weight loss in past 3 months</td>
<td>Weight loss in past 3 months</td>
<td>Weight loss in past 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Current energy intake</td>
<td>Current energy intake</td>
<td>Current energy intake</td>
<td>Current energy intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Living alone</td>
<td>Body height</td>
<td>Body height</td>
<td>Body height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Weight loss in past 3 months</td>
<td>Appetite in the past month</td>
<td>Current protein intake</td>
<td>Current protein intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ADL / IADL</td>
<td>Current protein intake</td>
<td>Difficulty eating</td>
<td>Difficulty eating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Cognitive functioning</td>
<td>Pain when chewing</td>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>ADL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Outcome variables</strong></th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Quality of life</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Current protein intake</td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Body weight</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>Current protein intake</td>
<td>Current protein intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Current energy intake</td>
<td>Current protein intake</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Falls</td>
<td>Current energy intake</td>
<td>Current energy intake</td>
<td>Current energy intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Skeletal muscle mass</td>
<td>Treatment complications</td>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Gait speed</td>
<td>Length of stay</td>
<td>Fat mass / fat-free mass</td>
<td>Fat mass / fat-free mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Fat mass / fat-free mass</td>
<td>Fat mass / fat-free mass</td>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>ADL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Physical activity</td>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Cognitive functioning</td>
<td>Re-admission</td>
<td>Cognitive functioning</td>
<td>Cognitive functioning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Highest mean score on a scale from 1 (not relevant) to 10 (very relevant)
Recommendations

- When conducting a RCT in older malnourished persons or those at high risk, assess nutritional status, appetite and dietary intake for a comprehensive baseline characterization and monitor these variables during treatment.
- When conducting a RCT in older malnourished persons or those at high risk, also consider person-centered outcomes such as quality of life and physical functioning.
- Obtain specific informed consent from your participants to allow the collected data to be used to address future research questions.
- Store all your original collected data in your dataset (e.g. keep the information of single items of your malnutrition screening tool in your dataset and not only the total score).
- Share your coded individual participant data with other researchers to allow meta-analyses and pooled statistical analyses.

Related MaNuEL publication:
- Visser M, Volkert D et al. Towards a Minimum Data Set for nutritional intervention studies in malnourished older persons or those at high risk. (in preparation).

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub, a web-based survey was conducted among 19 countries (out of 31, response rate 61.3%) to provide an overview on present national policies across Europe with regard to the detection and management of malnutrition in older persons.

Proportion of countries with existing laws and guidelines providing recommendations on screening and treatment of malnutrition in older persons by health-care setting (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ranzenberger-Haider T, Schindler K et al. on behalf of the MaNuEL Consortium. Policies and current practice on screening and treatment of malnutrition in older adults across Europe. (in preparation).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations

- More effort is needed to implement binding norms for early detection and treatment of malnutrition in older persons.
- More effort is needed, that prevention, detection and management of malnutrition is obligatorily included in medical treatment and should not depend on individual caregivers knowledge and engagement.
- National health system policies on quality management in hospitals and nursing homes should include implementation of screening for malnutrition, nutritional treatment and monitoring standards.

(Community and nursing home: n=16, hospital n=19 countries; lacking information from 0–4 countries)
The lack of knowledge of health care professionals is one main barrier to implementing adequate nutritional interventions in older persons. Until now, it is not known to which extent European nurses and medical doctors are exposed to the topic of malnutrition in older adults during their education.

In the MaNuEL Knowledge Hub,

an online survey was conducted to gain information about the curriculum content on malnutrition in basic study programs for nurses and medical doctors.

Proportion of nursing and medical education institutions teaching specific topics with respect to malnutrition in older adults (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Nursing education institutions (n=131 institutions from 26 countries)</th>
<th>Medical education institutions (n=26 institutions from 12 countries)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malnutrition screening</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences of malnutrition</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causes of malnutrition</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of malnutrition</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral nutritional supplements</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of enteral nutrition</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of parenteral nutrition</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation of nutritional requirements</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibilities of various professions</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidisciplinary nutrition support teams</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations

- The topic of malnutrition in older adults needs to be included in the curriculum of nurses and physicians.
- The topics of multidisciplinary cooperation and evidence-based interventions should also be included in the curricula.
- Healthcare professionals should receive training on screening for malnutrition.
- Teachers with expertise in nutrition education, such as registered dietitians, should preferably be involved in the education.
- Health professionals should be encouraged to follow additional courses (e.g. MOOC) to educate themselves on the topic of malnutrition in older persons.

Related MaNuEL publications:

MaNuEL Partner Institutions

Austria
Medical University Vienna (MUV)
Medical University Graz (MUG)

France
Champmaillot Centre Geriatric Research Unit-Dijon (CHU)
French National Institute for Agronomic Research Paris (INRA)
School of Agricultural Studies Angers (ESA)
Gerontopole of Toulouse (CHU)

Germany
German Institute for Human Nutrition Potsdam (DIfE)
Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena (FSU)

Ulm University (UUlUm)
Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology – BIPS (BIPS)
Christian-Albrechts University Kiel (CAU)
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU)

Ireland
University College Dublin (UCD-1)
Institute of Food and Health Dublin (UCD-2)
University of Limerick, Department of Biological Science (UL)
Alimentary Pharmabiotic Centre – Microbiome Institute Cork (UCC)

New Zealand
University of Auckland (UoA)

Spain
Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria Madrid (IRyCIS)

The Netherlands
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (VU)
VU University Medical Center (VUmc)
Wageningen University Research Centre (WUR)
HAN University of Applied Sciences (HAN)
Dutch Malnutrition Steering Group (DMSG)

MaNuEL Funding Organisations

Austria
Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy (BMWFFW)

France
The National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA)

Germany
Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL) represented by Federal Office for Agriculture and Food (BLE)

Ireland
Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine (DAFM) and the Health Research Board (HRB)

The Netherlands
The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw)

Spain
National Institute of Health Carlos III (ISCIII) and the SENATOR trial (FP7-HEALTH-2012-305930)
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